



Crystal structure of 2,4-di-*tert*-butyl-6-(hydroxymethyl)phenol

Ane I. Aranburu Leiva, Sophie L. Benjamin, Stuart K. Langley and Ryan E. Mewis

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1614–1617



IUCr Journals CRYSTALLOGRAPHY JOURNALS ONLINE

This open-access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence







CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 23 September 2016 Accepted 19 October 2016

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

Keywords: crystal structure: O—H···O hydrogen bonding; intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding; pendent arm.

CCDC reference: 1510636

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.jucr.org/e



ACCESS OPEN

Crystal structure of 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-(hydroxymethyl)phenol

Ane I. Aranburu Leiva,^a Sophie L. Beniamin,^b Stuart K. Langlev^a and Rvan E. Mewis^a*

^aSchool of Science and the Environment, Division of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, John Dalton Building, Chester St, Manchester, M1 5GD, England, and ^bSchool of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, NG11 8NS, England. *Correspondence e-mail: r.mewis@mmu.ac.uk

The title compound, C₁₅H₂₄O₂, is an example of a phenol-based pendant-arm precursor. In the molecule, the phenol hydroxy group participates in an intramolecular O-H···O hydrogen bond with the pendant alcohol group, forming an S(6) ring. This ring adopts a half-chair conformation. In the crystal, O-H...O hydrogen bonds connect molecules related by the 3₁ screw axes, forming chains along the c axis. The C-C-O angles for the hydroxy groups are different as a result of the type of hybridization for the C atoms that are involved in these angles. The C-C-O angle for the phenol hydroxy group is 119.21 $(13)^{\circ}$, while the angle within the pendant alcohol is 111.99 $(13)^{\circ}$. The bond length involving the phenolic oxygen is 1.3820 (19) Å, which contrasts with that of the alcoholic oxygen which is 1.447 (2) Å. The former is conjugated with the aromatic ring and so leads to the observed shorter bond length.

1. Chemical context

The addition of pendent arms to ligands, which possess donor atoms that are capable of ligating to a metal ion, aid the stabilization of the resulting complex formed. In particular, the use of phenol-based ligands are of interest because they are used to form stable phenoxyl radicals, which are found in some enzymatic active sites, such as photosystem II and galactose oxidase (Rogers & Dooley, 2003; Pujols-Ayala & Barry, 2004). Synthesis of pendent arms containing phenolate moieties have been used for the creation of biomimetic complexes and for the study of their redox properties (Zhu et al., 1996; Kimura et al., 2001; Esteves et al., 2013; Sokolowski et al., 1997). The creation of pendent arms that possess functional groups, which can be easily manipulated to give possible tethering points (such as the transformation of an alcohol to the corresponding alkyl halide), or groups that are easily protected to prevent unwanted side reactions are, therefore, highly desirable.





Figure 1

The molecular structure of compound (I), showing the atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The intramolecular hydrogen bond is shown by the dashed bond.

As part of our work on the synthesis of macrocyclic ligand systems bearing phenolate pendent arms, we report the crystal structure of 2,4-di-*tert*-butyl-6-hydroxymethylphenol, (I), which is an intermediary in a pendent-arm synthesis.

2. Structural commentary

The molecule of (I) possesses an intramolecular hydrogen bond (Table 1). This interaction does not cause any sizable deviation from the idealized bond angle, as the bond angle for C6-C15-O2 is 111.99 $(13)^\circ$, whilst the bond angle for C6-C1-O1 is 119.21 $(13)^{\circ}$. Furthermore, the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond within the structure creates a six-membered ring system that involves C1, C6, C15, O2, H1O1 and O1. This six-membered ring has a half-chair conformation. The phenolic C-O bond length is 1.3820 (19) Å, which is shorter than the alcoholic C–O bond length [1.447 (2) Å] due to conjugation with the aromatic ring. The aromatic ring is planar, as expected, and has internal bond angles that range from 116.49 (14) to 123.95 $(14)^{\circ}$. The bond lengths from the quaternary atoms of the tert-butyl group to the nearest aromatic ring carbon are very similar (the average bond length is 1.54 Å).

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal structure of (I) (Fig. 1), molecules are linked by intermolecular hydrogen bonds that are much shorter than the intramolecular hydrogen bonds (see Table 1). Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are formed between molecules that are related by a 3_1 screw axis which generates chains along the *c*-axis direction (Figs. 2 and 3). The intermolecular hydrogen bond is stronger than the intramolecular bond due to colli-

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond	geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O2-H1O2\cdots O2^{i}$	0.91 (2)	1.75 (2)	2.6636 (14)	178 (2)
$O1-H1O1\cdots O2$	0.84 (2)	2.03 (2)	2.7706 (18)	146 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-y + 1, x - y, z + \frac{1}{3}$.

nearity between the proton donor group (O2-H1O2) and the proton acceptor $(O2^i)$. The bond angle for $O2-H1O2\cdots O2^i$ is 178 (2)°, which contrasts strongly with the weaker intramolecular hydrogen bond, which is 146 (2)° (O1-H1O1···O2). The presence of intermolecular hydrogen bonding is the only interaction that stabilizes the 1D structure, as there are no π - π stacking interactions present; the aromatic rings are separated by more than 6 Å.

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.37, update February 2016; Groom *et al.*, 2016) for the substructure of 2,4-di-*tert*-butyl-6-hydroxymethylphenol yielded 29 hits (the carbon of the CH_2 group was restricted to have a coordination of four atoms, and the phenolic oxygen two atoms). Of these 29 hits, 14 were organic compounds; the remainder were all metal complexes. A number of compounds used the same molecular motif to form ethers *via* the alcoholic oxygen [AVOPOR and AVOQET (Huang *et al.*, 2010); BERLIV, BERLOB, BURLAH and BERMAO (Huang *et al.*, 2013); WUZJAE and WUZHOW (Audouin *et al.*, 2015)]. A further sub-set of interest was where the two hydrogen atoms of the



Figure 2

The crystal packing of compound (I), viewed along the *c*-axis direction. The hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

research communications



Figure 3

The crystal packing of compound (I) showing the helical chains along the c axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

 CH_2 group of (I) have been replaced by CF_3/C_6F_5 groups to coordinate to titanium(IV) centres [ZUNWOW and ZUNWUC (Tuskaev et al., 2015); XEMBAU and XEMREY (Solov'ev et al., 2011)]. ZUNWOW is noteworthy because fluorine also acts as a ligand to a coordinated lithium ion. Two oxazole structures that contain the title compound were also identified [KUTQUM (Campbell et al., 2010); LUYSIU (Błocka et al., 2010)], although neither used (I) as a starting material. The only structure that utilizes 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6hydroxymethylphenol without modification is a complex that contains two titanium(IV) centres, four 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-hydroxymethylphenol ligands and two chloride ligands (BAFFOG; Gagieva et al., 2014). Two of the 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-hydroxymethylphenol ligands display bridging through the alcoholic oxygen to both Ti^{IV} centres. The C–O bond lengths are comparable to those of (I); the phenolic C-O bond length in BAFFOG shows the largest difference in that it contracts by

Table 2	
Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{15}H_{24}O_2$
M _r	236.34
Crystal system, space group	Trigonal, P3 ₁
Cemperature (K)	123
c(Å)	14.4357 (9), 6.0404 (5)
$V(Å^3)$	1090.11 (13)
	3
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\iota \text{ (mm}^{-1}\text{)}$	0.07
Crystal size (mm)	$0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Agilent Xcalibur
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO;
	Agilent, 2014)
Γ_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.992, 0.997
No. of measured, independent and	6245, 3097, 2883
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.025
$\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (A^{-1})$	0.649
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.042, 0.089, 1.08
No. of reflections	3097
No. of parameters	166
No. of restraints	1
I-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained
° 2	refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-3})$	0.20, -0.22

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2014), SHELXS97 and SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), X-SEED (Barbour, 2001) and CAMERON (Watkin et al., 1996).

0.015 Å relative to (I). Furthermore, the bond lengths of the six-membered ring that is formed between the ligand and the Ti^{IV} centre also closely resembles that of (I); the only note-worthy difference between the two structures are the two bond lengths that involve oxygen to either Ti^{IV} or H1*O*1. In the former they are 2.003 and 1.832 Å whereas in (I) they are 2.03 (2) and 0.84 (2) Å.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis of 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-hydroxymethylphenol is based on a reported literature procedure (Wang et al., 2014). 2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol (5 g, 0.024 mol) and LiOH·H₂O (0.083 g, 0.002 mol) were dissolved in methanol (10 mL), and a suspension of paraformaldehyde (4.50 g, 0.15 mol) in methanol (10 mL) was added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 24 hr. After being allowed to cool to room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the white residue was dissolved in diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with water (3 x 50 mL). The organic layer was collected and dried with magnesium sulfate. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to yield a white powder (2.3 g, 40%). Part of the purified product was re-dissolved in *n*-hexane and placed in a refrigerator. After several days, colourless needle-like crystals were obtained. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.55 (s, 1H, CH₂OH), 7.28 (d, 1H, J = 2.52 Hz, ArH), 6.89 (d, 1H, J = 2.52 Hz, ArH), 4.84 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 1.41 (s, 9H, 'Bu), 1.29 (s, 9H, 'Bu); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 153.21, 141.69, 136.60, 124.19, 124.04, 122.70 (C_{arom}), 66.00 (CH₂), 35.04, 34.30 [C('Bu)], 31.69, 29.75 [Me('Bu)]. IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3530 (w), 3424 (w), 3175 (w, br), 2954 (s), 2905 (s), 2866 (m), 1067 (w), 1506 (s), 1481 (s), 1463 (s), 1445 (s), 1417 (m), 1391 (s), 1361 (s), 1301 (w), 1278 (w), 1250 (w), 1227 (s), 1201 (s), 1163 (w), 1125 (m), 1084 (w), 1026 (s), 942 (s), 927 (s), 879 (s), 823 (m), 797 (m), 763 (m), 723, (m), 654 (m).

6. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All hydrogen atoms are placed in calculated positions $[C-H = 0.98-0.99\text{\AA}; U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 \text{ or} 1.5U_{eq}(C)]$, except for H1O1 and H1O2 which were located in a difference map and their positions freely refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 0.05$ for both. The absolute structure could not be determined from the X-ray data.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the use of the EPSRC-funded National Chemical Database Service hosted by the Royal Society of Chemistry, Manchester Metropolitan University for funding and Dr Paul Birkett for useful discussions.

References

- Agilent (2014). CrysAlis PRO., Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England.
- Audouin, H., Bellini, R., Magna, L., Mézailles, N. & Olivier-Bourbigou, H. (2015). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 5272–5280.

- Barbour, L. J. (2001). J. Supramol. Chem. 1, 189-191.
- Błocka, E., Jaworska, M., Kozakiewicz, A., Wełniak, M. & Wojtczak, A. (2010). *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 21, 571–577.
- Campbell, I. S., Edler, K. L., Parrott, R. W. II, Hitchcock, S. R. & Ferrence, G. M. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* E66, 0900–0901.
- Esteves, C. V., Lima, L. M. P., Mateus, P., Delgado, R., Brandão, P. & Félix, V. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* **42**, 6149–6160.
- Gagieva, S. C., Kolosov, N. A., Kurmaev, D. A., Fedyanin, I. V., Tuskaev, V. A. & Bulychev, B. M. (2014). *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 63, 2748–2750.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Huang, Y., Tsai, Y.-H., Hung, W.-C., Lin, C.-S., Wang, W., Huang, J.-H., Dutta, S. & Lin, C.-C. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* 49, 9416–9425.
- Huang, Y., Wang, W., Lin, C.-C., Blake, M. P., Clark, L., Schwarz, A. D. & Mountford, P. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* **42**, 9313–9324.
- Kimura, S., Bill, E., Bothe, E., Weyhermüller, T. & Wieghardt, K. (2001). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123, 6025–6039.
- Pujols-Ayala, I. & Barry, B. A. (2004). BBA-Energetics 1655, 205-216.
- Rogers, M. S. & Dooley, D. M. (2003). Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 7, 189– 196.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sokolowski, A., Müller, J., Weyhermüller, T., Schnepf, R., Hildebrandt, P., Hildenbrand, K., Bothe, E. & Wieghardt, K. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 8889–8900.
- Solov'ev, M. V., Gagieva, S. C., Tuskaev, V. A., Bravaya, N. M., Gadalova, O. E., Khrustalev, V. N., Borissova, A. O. & Bulychev, B. M. (2011). *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **60**, 2227–2235.
- Tuskaev, V. A., Gagieva, S. C., Solov'ev, M. V., Kurmaev, D. A., Kolosov, N. A., Fedyanin, I. V. & Bulychev, B. M. (2015). J. Organomet. Chem. 797, 159–164.
- Wang, X., Thevenon, A., Brosmer, J. L., Yu, I., Khan, S. I., Mehrkhodavandi, P. & Diaconescu, P. L. (2014). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 11264–11267.
- Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K. & Pearce, L. J. (1996). *CAMERON*. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Oxford, England.
- Zhu, S. R., Kou, F. P., Lin, H. K., Lin, C. C., Lin, M. R. & Chen, Y. T. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* **35**, 5851–5859.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1614-1617 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989016016753]

Crystal structure of 2,4-di-tert-butyl-6-(hydroxymethyl)phenol

Ane I. Aranburu Leiva, Sophie L. Benjamin, Stuart K. Langley and Ryan E. Mewis

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Agilent, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *X-SEED* (Barbour, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *CAMERON* (Watkin *et al.*, 1996).

2,4-Di-tert-butyl-6-(hydroxymethyl)phenol

Crystal data	
$C_{15}H_{24}O_2$ $M_r = 236.34$ Trigonal, P3 ₁ $a = 14.4357 (9) \text{ Å}$ $c = 6.0404 (5) \text{ Å}$ $V = 1090.11 (13) \text{ Å}^3$ $Z = 3$ $F(000) = 390$	$D_x = 1.080 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9833 reflections $\theta = 3.1-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 123 K Needle, colourless $0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Agilent Xcalibur diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 15.9832 pixels mm ⁻¹ scans in φ and ω Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlisPro; Agilent, 2014) $T_{\min} = 0.992, T_{\max} = 0.997$	6245 measured reflections 3097 independent reflections 2883 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.025$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.3^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 17$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 18$ $l = -7 \rightarrow 7$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.089$ S = 1.08 3097 reflections 166 parameters 1 restraint	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0403P)^2 + 0.0827P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{ m max} = 0.001$ $\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.20 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

electronic reprint

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

 $U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$ х v Z02 0.70639 (10) 0.41386 (10) -0.00481(18)0.0260(3)C3 0.73856(12) 0.70473 (12) 0.5036(2)0.0199(3)H3 0.7539 0.7548 0.6196 0.024* C4 0.63425 (12) 0.64968 (12) 0.4213(2)0.0204(3)01 0.87583(9)0.59921 (11) 0.1671(2)0.0314(3)C6 0.69423(12)0.56297 (12) 0.1580(2)0.0218(3)C2 0.4252 (2) 0.82219 (12) 0.69053 (12) 0.0216 (3) C1 0.79714 (12) 0.0223(3)0.61721 (13) 0.2511(2)0.54260 (12) C11 0.66266 (13) 0.5165 (3) 0.0228(3)C5 0.61420 (12) 0.57839(13) 0.2466 (3) 0.0219 (3) H5 0.5440 0.1871 0.026* 0.5395 C7 0.93578 (13) 0.75319 (14) 0.5246(3)0.0269(4)C15 0.67162 (14) 0.49097 (13) -0.0400(3)0.0260(4)H15A 0.5939 0.4526 -0.07080.031* H15B 0.7088 0.5352 -0.17110.031* C13 0.49041 (14) 0.69333 (15) 0.3305 (3) 0.0319 (4) 0.3913 0.048* H13A 0.4310 0.6999 H13B 0.4634 0.6378 0.2159 0.048* H13C 0.5436 0.7618 0.2658 0.048* C14 0.45901 (13) 0.55618 (14) 0.6205 (3) 0.0323 (4) H14A 0.4920 0.5375 0.7414 0.048* H14B 0.4322 0.4998 0.5078 0.048* H14C 0.3995 0.5633 0.6786 0.048* C12 0.74938 (15) 0.6963(3)0.58201 (14) 0.0313(4)H12A 0.6358 0.8181 0.6333 0.047* H12B 0.6139 0.7300 0.047* 0.8181 0.5216 H12C 0.7555 0.7522 0.047* C8 0.96891 (15) 0.67363 (16) 0.6149(3) 0.0358 (4) H8A 1.0399 0.7134 0.6827 0.054* H8B 0.9709 0.6298 0.4929 0.054* H8C 0.9169 0.6272 0.7260 0.054* C10 0.94193 (14) 0.82504 (15) 0.7178(3)0.0348(4)0.052* H10A 1.0150 0.8627 0.7767 H10B 0.8923 0.7810 0.8347 0.052* H10C 0.9224 0.8773 0.6655 0.052*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

supporting information

C9	1.01503 (14)	0.82514 (17)	0.3459 (3)	0.0420 (5)
H9A	0.9933	0.8752	0.2905	0.063*
H9B	1.0154	0.7807	0.2235	0.063*
H9C	1.0869	0.8653	0.4097	0.063*
H1O2	0.6651 (18)	0.3735 (18)	0.111 (4)	0.050*
H1O1	0.8464 (19)	0.5408 (18)	0.099 (4)	0.050*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
O2	0.0308 (6)	0.0292 (6)	0.0208 (6)	0.0170 (5)	0.0048 (5)	0.0005 (5)
C3	0.0212 (7)	0.0180 (7)	0.0200 (7)	0.0095 (6)	0.0007 (6)	0.0012 (6)
C4	0.0197 (7)	0.0198 (8)	0.0213 (8)	0.0095 (6)	0.0013 (6)	0.0040 (6)
01	0.0226 (6)	0.0407 (8)	0.0319 (7)	0.0166 (6)	0.0016 (5)	-0.0089 (6)
C6	0.0256 (8)	0.0202 (8)	0.0193 (8)	0.0113 (7)	0.0008 (6)	0.0021 (6)
C2	0.0194 (8)	0.0229 (8)	0.0191 (8)	0.0079 (7)	0.0022 (6)	0.0036 (6)
C1	0.0208 (8)	0.0268 (8)	0.0209 (8)	0.0132 (7)	0.0037 (6)	0.0043 (7)
C11	0.0222 (8)	0.0251 (8)	0.0252 (8)	0.0149 (7)	0.0009 (6)	0.0016 (7)
C5	0.0175 (8)	0.0230 (8)	0.0245 (8)	0.0095 (6)	-0.0029 (6)	0.0006 (6)
C7	0.0183 (8)	0.0338 (9)	0.0261 (8)	0.0111 (7)	-0.0005 (6)	-0.0021 (7)
C15	0.0307 (9)	0.0261 (9)	0.0234 (8)	0.0159 (7)	-0.0011 (7)	-0.0014 (7)
C13	0.0314 (9)	0.0392 (11)	0.0341 (9)	0.0243 (8)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0001 (8)
C14	0.0235 (8)	0.0337 (10)	0.0399 (10)	0.0143 (8)	0.0087 (8)	0.0077 (8)
C12	0.0292 (9)	0.0374 (10)	0.0334 (9)	0.0213 (8)	0.0007 (8)	-0.0069 (8)
C8	0.0281 (9)	0.0515 (12)	0.0347 (10)	0.0251 (9)	-0.0056 (8)	-0.0055 (9)
C10	0.0236 (9)	0.0389 (10)	0.0383 (10)	0.0128 (8)	-0.0078 (8)	-0.0103 (8)
C9	0.0214 (9)	0.0470 (12)	0.0398 (10)	0.0038 (8)	0.0029 (8)	0.0002 (9)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O2—C15	1.447 (2)	C15—H15A	0.9900
O2—H1O2	0.91 (2)	C15—H15B	0.9900
С3—С4	1.396 (2)	C13—H13A	0.9800
C3—C2	1.404 (2)	C13—H13B	0.9800
С3—Н3	0.9500	C13—H13C	0.9800
C4—C5	1.400 (2)	C14—H14A	0.9800
C4—C11	1.537 (2)	C14—H14B	0.9800
01—C1	1.3820 (19)	C14—H14C	0.9800
01—H101	0.84 (2)	C12—H12A	0.9800
С6—С5	1.389 (2)	C12—H12B	0.9800
C6—C1	1.405 (2)	C12—H12C	0.9800
C6—C15	1.509 (2)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C2—C1	1.405 (2)	C8—H8B	0.9800
С2—С7	1.544 (2)	C8—H8C	0.9800
C11—C12	1.536 (2)	C10—H10A	0.9800
C11—C13	1.536 (2)	C10—H10B	0.9800
C11—C14	1.536 (2)	C10—H10C	0.9800
С5—Н5	0.9500	С9—Н9А	0.9800

supporting information

C7—C10	1.534 (2)	С9—Н9В	0.9800
С7—С9	1.538 (2)	С9—Н9С	0.9800
C7—C8	1.547 (2)		
C15—O2—H1O2	103.7 (14)	H15A—C15—H15B	107.9
C4—C3—C2	123.95 (14)	C11—C13—H13A	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	118.0	C11—C13—H13B	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	118.0	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	117.04 (13)	C11—C13—H13C	109.5
C3—C4—C11	123.13 (13)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C4—C11	119.82 (13)	H13B-C13-H13C	109.5
C1-01-H101	108.6 (16)	C11—C14—H14A	109.5
C5—C6—C1	119.30 (14)	C11—C14—H14B	109.5
C5—C6—C15	120.33 (14)	H14A—C14—H14B	109.5
C1—C6—C15	120.35 (14)	C11—C14—H14C	109.5
C3—C2—C1	116.49 (14)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5
C3—C2—C7	121.49 (14)	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
C1—C2—C7	122.02 (13)	C11—C12—H12A	109.5
O1—C1—C6	119.21 (13)	C11—C12—H12B	109.5
O1—C1—C2	119.35 (13)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C6—C1—C2	121.44 (13)	C11—C12—H12C	109.5
C12—C11—C13	108.51 (13)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C12—C11—C14	108.18 (14)	H12B-C12-H12C	109.5
C13—C11—C14	109.54 (13)	С7—С8—Н8А	109.5
C12—C11—C4	111.91 (13)	С7—С8—Н8В	109.5
C13—C11—C4	109.74 (13)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C14—C11—C4	108.92 (13)	С7—С8—Н8С	109.5
C6—C5—C4	121.72 (14)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	119.1	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C4—C5—H5	119.1	C7—C10—H10A	109.5
С10—С7—С9	107.79 (14)	C7—C10—H10B	109.5
C10—C7—C2	112.17 (13)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C9—C7—C2	109.65 (13)	C7—C10—H10C	109.5
C10—C7—C8	107.40 (14)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C9—C7—C8	110.30 (15)	H10B-C10-H10C	109.5
C2—C7—C8	109.51 (14)	С7—С9—Н9А	109.5
O2—C15—C6	111.99 (13)	С7—С9—Н9В	109.5
O2—C15—H15A	109.2	Н9А—С9—Н9В	109.5
C6—C15—H15A	109.2	С7—С9—Н9С	109.5
O2—C15—H15B	109.2	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
C6—C15—H15B	109.2	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O2—H1 <i>O</i> 2···O2 ⁱ	0.91 (2)	1.75 (2)	2.6636 (14)	178 (2)

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 1614-1617

			supporting informat	
01—H1 <i>0</i> 1····O2	0.84 (2)	2.03 (2)	2.7706 (18)	146 (2)
Symmetry code: (i) – <i>y</i> +1, <i>x</i> – <i>y</i> , <i>z</i> +1/3.				