```
1
      TECHNIQUES TO AGE DATE HUMAN EXPOSURE TO PCBS
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
      D. Megson<sup>1</sup>, G. O'Sullivan<sup>2</sup>, P. J. Worsfold<sup>1</sup>, S. Comber<sup>1</sup> M.C. Lohan<sup>1</sup>,
 9
10
      <sup>1</sup> Biogeochemistry Research Centre, SoGEES, Plymouth University, Plymouth, Devon
11
      PL4 8AA, UK
      <sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Mount Royal University, 4825 Mount Royal Gate
12
      SW, Calgary, Alberta, T3E 6K6, Canada
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
           1 INTRODUCTION
22
23
      PCBs were first produced in 1929 and were widely used throughout the 20th century for a
24
      variety of industrial uses such as dielectric fluids, hydraulic fluids and plasticisers. PCBs
25
      are a group of 209 'man made' compounds that were produced as blends, each containing a
      specific mixture of different PCB congeners. These blends were sold under trade names
26
27
      based on their chlorine content. Monsanto was the market leader in worldwide PCB
28
      production and produced approximately 1.2 million tonnes which accounted for more than
29
      half of the global total.<sup>1</sup> Monsanto produced nine main Aroclors, accounting for 99.97% of
      production,<sup>2</sup> each identified with a four digit code. For example, Aroclor 1260 contained
30
31
      an end product with 60% chlorine by weight. Similar naming systems and production
      methods were used by the other manufacturers, resulting in the proportions of congeners in
32
      Aroclor 1260, Clophen A60 and Kanechlor 600 being almost identical.<sup>3</sup> PCB production in
33
      the US peaked in 1970.<sup>2</sup> However, production rates decreased steadily throughout the 70's
34
35
      as the health and environmental risks from PCBs began to be better understood. In the
      United States this resulted in passing of the Toxic Substances Control Act in 1976 and the
36
      phasing out of PCBs which began in 1979.<sup>4</sup> PCBs have been phased out in open systems
37
38
      but they can still be found in closed systems, e.g. as dielectric fluids in electrical
39
      equipment. They are highly persistent and are still routinely found in environmental and
```

40 41 human samples across the globe.

All humans have been exposed to background concentrations of PCBs; however there are also unfortunate events where individuals are exposed to elevated concentrations of PCBs. In litigation cases it is especially important to differentiate these specific exposure events from background exposure, as well as identifying the source of contamination and age dating the exposure period. Age dating PCB exposure is a complex task but assessments can be made because different PCB congeners have different residence times in the human body.

- 49
- 50

2 FACTORS AFFECTING THE PCB SIGNATURE IN HUMANS

51 52 53

PCBs do not occur naturally in the environment but are present due to releases from human activity. A variety of post release processes, e.g. volatilization, dispersion and biodegradation, can all alter the PCB signature in the environment.³ However, further important changes to the PCB signature can also occur during and after an exposure event. These changes can occur during intake (how PCBs enter the body), uptake (how and where PCBs are absorbed once they enter the body), and post-uptake (factors such as biotransformation and elimination).

61 62

2.1 Intake of PCBs

63 PCBs enter humans through three main pathways: ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact. 64 The vast majority of people will only be exposed to background concentrations of PCBs, 65 which will predominantly occur through the ingestion of contaminated foods.⁵ For the UK population it was calculated that food consumption was responsible for 97% of the total 66 67 exposure to PCBs.⁶ As well as affecting the total intake of PCBs, a person's diet will also influence their specific congener profile because the PCB signature is different for 68 69 different food groups.⁷ The PCB signature in plants tends to be more closely related to the atmospheric signature than the signature of the soil they were grown in.⁸ This means that 70 vegetables and cereals contain higher proportions of the more volatile, less chlorinated 71 72 congeners such as CB-28. Lipid rich food groups such as fish and red meat tend to contain 73 higher proportions of the more chlorinated congeners along with higher total PCB 74 concentrations.⁶ As a result, the major source of PCBs in western countries tends to be 75 from fish and meat, whereas cereals are an important source of PCBs in countries such as India where less meat is consumed.⁹ Dermal intake and inhalation are normally considered 76 77 to be only minor pathways for PCB exposure. However, PCBs do have a relatively high dermal absorption factor $(0.14)^{10}$ and there have been some instances where inhalation has 78 proved to be an important pathway.^{11,12} In instances where exposure was through dermal 79 80 and inhalation pathways, individuals have contained high proportions of the less chlorinated congeners.^{11,13} 81

82

83 2.2 Uptake of PCBs

84 Once PCBs have entered the body the rate of uptake is relatively high, a study undertaken 85 in 1972 showed that 88% of the sum of various PCB congeners was absorbed in preadolescent girls.¹⁴ PCBs are highly lipophilic, with log K_{ow} values ranging from 4.3 (for 86 PCB 2) up to 8.26 (for PCB 209),¹⁵ which helps to explain why they accumulate in the 87 body. As PCBs are hydrophobic, individuals with higher body lipid contents tend to have 88 89 higher concentrations of PCBs. Therefore, when comparing PCB concentrations between individuals, results are often lipid normalised and recorded in ng g^{-1} lipid. Due to obvious 90 91 ethical reasons PCB fractionation in different human organs has not been widely studied. 92 However, fractionation has been observed in humans for polybrominated diphenyl ethers, with enrichment of the less brominated congeners in the umbilical cord blood¹⁶ and breast 93 milk.¹⁷ The majority of fractionation studies have been undertaken on animals where 94 fractionation driven by the log Kow values has resulted in accumulation of less chlorinated 95 PCBs (along with dioxins and furans) in the eggs of fish¹⁸ and birds.¹⁹ Fractionation may 96 97 not occur across all tissue types however; e.g. no significant difference was observed in the 98 PCB signature in liver and muscle tissue from 7 different predatory bird species in Belgium.²⁰ 99

100

101 **2.3 Post uptake factors**

102 Once PCBs are taken up by humans they do not remain in the body at constant levels. 103 Individual PCB concentrations and the overall PCB signature are altered by 104 biotransformation and elimination. PCBs are fairly insoluble and therefore need to be 105 transformed into more soluble compounds before they can be excreted.²¹ This usually involves the introduction of an epoxide, hydroxylation or conjugation to produce more 106 water soluble derivatives.²² The metabolic breakdown pathway is usually initiated through 107 hydroxylation involving the cytochrome P450 isozymes (CYP1A and CYP2B). These are 108 109 not only triggered by the presence of PCBs but can also be activated by PAHs from eating barbecued food, smoking, drinking coffee or by consuming cruciferous vegetables such as 110 broccoli.^{23,24} Not all PCBs are biotransformed in the same way or at the same rate. The 111 112 structure of the PCB determines which enzyme preferentially transforms it. Co-planar PCBs with no or one ortho chlorines are preferred substrates for CYP1A, whereas CYP2B 113 will transform most PCBs.²² In general the more chlorinated congeners are more resistant 114 to biotransformation than the less chlorinated congeners but the exact position of chlorine 115 atoms on the biphenyl is also very important.^{25,26} Based on their resistance in humans, 116 117 PCBs can be classified into two groups; steady state PCBs and episodic PCBs. Hansen²⁷ classified steady state PCBs as 'more persistent CBs that are commonly reported', whereas 118 119 episodic PCBs were classified as PCBs that are 'generally present only transiently and may 120 be detectable in a small fraction of a survey population'.

- 121
- 122
- 123

3 IDENTIFICATION OF EPISOIC AND STEADY STATE CONGENERS

124

125 As stated in section 2, there are many factors that can affect the PCB signature in humans. One of the most important factors is the resistance of a PCB to biotransformation and 126 127 elimination.²⁶ The difference in resistance of certain PCBs can result a noticeable shift in 128 the PCB signature over time. Recently exposed individuals contain higher proportions of 129 episodic congeners and historically exposed individuals contain higher proportions of 130 steady state congeners. Therefore, when age dating human exposure, it is important to correctly identify which congeners are steady state and which are episodic. The following 131 section outlines how Megson et al.²⁶ used the National Health and Nutrition Examination 132 133 Survey (NHANES) to identify steady state and episodic PCBs.

134

135 3.1 Interrogation of the NHANES dataset

136 NHANES is a continuous survey that was designed to monitor the health of the US 137 population through interviews, physical examination and laboratory analysis. This includes 138 the routine determination of a range of contaminants, including a total of 37 PCBs in 139 serum. The most recent survey to include PCB analysis was undertaken in 2003-04 using 140 serum obtained from approximately 2000 individuals. Samples were analysed using high 141 resolution gas chromatography / high resolution mass spectrometry (HRGC/HRMS) and 142 quantified by isotope dilution. Data from the NHANES surveys are publically available from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)^{28,29} along with information 143 regarding the collection and analysis of the serum samples and data quality procedures.³⁰ 144

145

Once downloaded, the NHANES dataset was interrogated by splitting participants into 74 yearly age groups from 12 - 85 years old based on their age at the time of participation in the survey. A box and whisker plot of PCB concentrations in each age group was plotted for each congener to identify any difference in PCB concentration with age. Scatter plots of participant age versus log_{10} transformed PCB concentration were also produced for each 151 congener. A linear regression was applied to each scatter plot and the significance of the 152 resultant relationship between PCB concentration and age was calculated as a P-value. The 153 results were used to identify two groups of PCBs; steady state congeners which showed a 154 significant increase in concentration with age and episodic congeners which showed no 155 significant increase in concentration with age (Figure 1).





100		
159	Figure 1	Steady state and episodic congeners identified from regression analysis
160		(Figure adapted from Science of the Total Environment, 461–462, Megson, D.,
161		O'Sullivan, G., Comber, S., Worsfold, P. J., Lohan, M. C., Edwards, M. R.,
162		Shields, W. J., Sandau, C. D. & Patterson Jr, D. G., Elucidating the structural
163		properties that influence the persistence of PCBs in humans using the National
164		Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) dataset. Copyright
165		(2013), with permission from Elsevier)
166		

167 Principal component analysis (PCA) was undertaken to further evaluate if there was any relationship between age and PCB signature. Prior to undertaking PCA, PCB 168 concentrations were standardised by dividing the concentration of each PCB by the sum of 169 170 the concentrations of the 37 PCBs reported in the sample. The data were then normalised in two steps, initially taking the square root of the proportion and then subtracting the mean 171 and dividing by the standard deviation. These transformations were undertaken to prevent 172 high concentration variables from dominating the analysis.³¹ The scores plot showed a 173 174 clear gradient in the age of participant along the first principal component axis (Figure 2). 175



176 177

Figure 2 Scores plot showing gradient in participant age along PC1 (Reprinted from Science of the Total Environment, 461–462, Megson, D., O'Sullivan, G., Comber, S., Worsfold, P. J., Lohan, M. C., Edwards, M. R., Shields, W. J., Sandau, C. D. & Patterson Jr, D. G., Elucidating the structural properties that influence the persistence of PCBs in humans using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) dataset. Copyright (2013), with permission from Elsevier)

184

185 The loadings plot was used to identify three groups of congeners (Figure 3). Group 1 (with 186 positive PC1 values) contained PCBs with predominantly 25- and 236- substitution which 187 were present in higher proportions in the younger participants. Group 2 (with negative PC1 188 values) contained PCBs with predominantly 2345- and 23456- substitution which were 189 present in higher proportions in the older participants. A third group was also separated 190 (with negative PC2 values) containing PCBs with predominantly 245- substitution. The 191 PCBs in Group 2 are indicative of steady state congeners that have accumulated in higher 192 proportions over time as they are more resistant to biotransformation and elimination. The 193 PCBs in Group 1 are indicative of episodic PCBs as the younger individuals have not been alive as long at the older participants and therefore the fractionation of episodic and steady 194 195 state congeners is less pronounced. Group 3 was poorly correlated with age but contained previously been recorded in depleted proportions 196 PCBs that have due to 197 biotransformation.³²





199PCI200Figure 3Loadings plot showing groups of PCBs based on chlorine position (Figure201adapted from Science of the Total Environment, 461–462, Megson, D.,

202 O'Sullivan, G., Comber, S., Worsfold, P. J., Lohan, M. C., Edwards, M. R.,

Shields, W. J., Sandau, C. D. & Patterson Jr, D. G., Elucidating the structural
properties that influence the persistence of PCBs in humans using the National
Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) dataset. Copyright

206 (2013), with permission from Elsevier)

208 **3.2** Structure of episodic and steady state congeners

The results of the regression analysis and PCA were considered along with the cluster 209 analysis presented in Megson et al.²⁶. These results were compared with previous studies 210 on PCB metabolism^{25,27,33} to establish how the structure of PCBs affects their resistance to 211 biotransformation and elimination in humans. The results showed that PCBs with chlorine 212 substitution in the 25- and 236- positions appeared to be particularly susceptible to 213 biotransformation and elimination whereas PCBs with 234-, 245-, 345- and 2345-214 215 substitution appeared to be more resistant. PCBs with no para chlorine and adjacent meta 216 chlorine appeared to be the most susceptible (Figure 4). This is believed to be because 217 these are more easily hydrolysed, which is the first metabolic step in the cytochrome P450 218 breakdown pathway.¹³

219

207



220 221

221 222 223

Figure 4

Structure of some episodic and steady state PCBs

4 PCB ANALYSIS BY GCxGC-TOFMS

225 226

224

PCBs were first discovered in environmental samples in 1966.³⁴ However, due to their structural similarity, the separation of all 209 PCBs still presents a significant analytical challenge. When age dating exposure it is essential to be able to resolve episodic and steady state PCBs. The first fully comprehensive PCB analysis was undertaken by Frame in 1997; retention times for all 209 PCBs where documented using multiple analysis on several different GC columns.³⁵ However, to this day, all 209 PCBs have not been separated in one analytical run. Several authors have reported the separation of over 190 PCBs using GCxGC-TOFMS.³⁶⁻³⁹ The following section outlines the separation of 200 congeners by Megson *et al.*³⁹ and gives examples of how the method can be applied to the determination of PCBs in biological samples, thus demonstrating its potential for age dating human exposure to PCBs.

- 238
- 239 240

4.1 Identification of episodic and steady state PCBs

241 Nine PCB congener standard calibration mixtures (CS1 to CS9; AccuStandard) containing 10 µg mL⁻¹ of each PCB in 1 mL of isooctane were combined to produce a solution 242 containing all 209 congeners. This was analysed using a time-of-flight mass spectrometer, 243 244 (LECO, St. Joseph, MI Pegasus 4D) coupled to a two dimensional gas chromatograph 245 (Agilent Technologies 7890A) equipped with a thermal modulator (LECO, St. Joseph, 246 MI). The gas chromatograph was installed with a Rtx-PCB (60 m x 0.18 mm x 0.18 µm) 247 1D column and a Rxi-17 (1.5 m x 0.1 mm x 0.1 µm) 2D column (further settings are 248 reported in ³⁹). Two hundred of the 209 congeners were separated, with the remaining 9 co-eluting congeners comprising; three doublets (CB65 + CB62, CB160 + CB163 and 249 250 CB201 + CB204) and one triplet (CB20 + CB21 + CB33). Of these 9 congeners only 4 (CB20, CB33, CB163, CB201) were present in detectable concentrations in the 5 most 251 252 common commercial Aroclor mixtures, and only 1 (CB21) has a chlorine substitution 253 pattern indicative of a steady state or episodic congener. This method therefore provides 254 excellent separation of episodic and steady state congeners. A 2D contour plot produced 255 from the chromatogram of the 209 PCB mixture is shown in Figure 5.







261 262

263 4.2 Separation of PCBs in biological samples

265 The application of the method to biological matrices was demonstrated through analysis of 266 several marine animal tissues including; a whiting (Merlangius merlangus) liver, a guillemot (Uria aalge) liver and a liver, stomach, gut and heart obtained from the same 267 268 Leach's storm petrel (Oceanodroma leucorhoa). The largest number of PCBs identified in these samples was 137 in the whiting liver, with a further 18 tentatively identified with a 269 270 signal-to-noise ratio <10. The method also identified 120 PCBs in the guillemot liver with 271 a further 11 tentatively identified with a signal-to-noise ratio <10. In the petrel samples 77 PCBs were identified in the heart, 83 in the stomach, 95 in the liver and 100 in the gut. 272 273 Results from all samples were compared using Euclidian distance analysis to determine if 274 the PCB signature was similar for the different petrel organs and/or if the signature in the 275 liver was similar across the three species. Prior to statistical analysis all PCBs detected in 276 less than 4 of the 6 samples were removed from the data set. This was undertaken to reduce 277 the effect of using a LOD substitution value for instances where around 30% of the data was below the detection limit.⁴⁰ The remaining 93 PCBs with values < LOD were reported 278 at 0.5 LOD. All PCB results were standardised by converting each PCB to proportions of 279 280 the total PCB count in that sample. The data were normalised by taking the square root of the proportion then subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Euclidian 281 282 distance was calculated for the samples and the results presented as a cluster diagram 283 (Figure 6). The cluster diagram shows the high similarity in the PCB signature between the samples obtained from the petrel, indicating that the signature was well retained 284 285 throughout the animal's organs. However, there were distinct differences in the signature 286 from the stomach sample which showed elevated proportions of PCB 138 and PCB 180. 287 This may be associated with the PCB signature in undigested food within the stomach.





- 5 CONCLUSIONS
- 296 297

294 295

264

Age dating PCB exposure is a complex task; however assessments can be made because different PCB congeners have different residence times in the human body. Episodic PCBs with chlorine substitution in the 25- and 236- positions appeared to be particularly 301 susceptible to biotransformation and elimination whereas steady state PCBs with 234-, 302 245-, 345- and 2345- substitution appeared to be more resistant. When age dating exposure 303 it is essential to be able to resolve these episodic and steady state PCBs. Analysis using 304 single column chromatography will often result in the co-elution of several key congeners. However, analysis by comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography was able to 305 306 resolve 200 of the 209 congeners, a significant improvement on one dimensional gas 307 chromatography. The method was tested on biological matrices by conducting a fingerprinting exercise which distinguished different Lech's storm petrel organs from 308 309 whiting and guillemot samples. The results showed that although different organs from the same petrel contained different total PCB concentrations the signature was well retained 310 311 throughout each of the analysed organs. However, there were still subtle differences between the PCB signature of the different storm petrel organs. 312

313

Research is currently on-going to apply the statistical and analytical methods discussed in this paper to age date human exposure to PCBs.

- 316
- 317
- 318

319 Acknowledgements

320 The authors would like to thank; Don Patterson (Exponent,) Melanie Edwards (Exponent), Walt Shields (Exponent) and Court Sandau (Chemistry Matters) for their help with the 321 322 statistical interrogation of the NHANES database. Chris Hopley (LGC) and Tom Brown 323 (Plymouth University) for provision of PCB standards and biological samples. Bob Kalin 324 (University of Strathclyde), Ann Tadsanaprasittipol (University of Strathclyde), Chris Gallacher (University of Strathclyde) and Caroline Gauchotte-Lindsay (University of 325 Glasgow) for their assistance with GCxGC-TOFMS analysis and data interpretation. 326 327 Finally, David Megson would like to thank Plymouth University for providing a research grant to fund this study and the British Mass Spectrometry Society (BMSS) for providing a 328 329 travel grant to present these findings at the 2013 INEF conference.

- 330
- 331

332

333 References

- 334335
- I. Holoubek, in *PCBs: Recent Advances in Environmental Toxicology and Health Effects*, ed, L.W. Robertson, L.G. Hansen, University of Kentucky Press, 2001, p
 17.
- [2] R.L. Durfree, G. Contos, F.C. Whitmore, J.D. Barden, E.E.I. Hackman, R.A. Westin,
 1976.
- 341 [3] G.W. Johnson, J.F. Quensen III, J.R. Chiarenzelli, M. Coreen Hamilton, in 342 *Environmental Forensics Contaminant Specific Guide*, ed, R.D. Morrison, B.L. 343 Murphy, Academic Press, 2006.
- 344[4] United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), 1979345http://www.epa.gov/history/topics/pcbs/01.html (Accessed on, 31st October, 2011)
- 346 [5] D. Patterson, G. O'Sullivan, C.D. Sandau, in *Environmental Forensics*, ed, R.D.
 347 Morrison, G. O'Sullivan, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, 2009, p 188.
- 348 [6] R. Duarte-Davidson, K.C. Jones, *Science of the Total Environment* 1994 151 131.
- 349 [7] L.G. Hansen, *The ortho side of PCBs*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- 350 [8] C.S. Creaser, M.D. Wood, R. Alcock, D. Copplestone, P.J. Crook, 2007.

- [9] V.L.B. Jaspers, D. Megson, G. O'Sullivan, in *Environmental Forensics for Persistent* Organic Pollutants, ed, G. O'Sullivan, C.D. Sandau, Elsevier, in press.
- 353 [10] Environment Agency, 2009.
- [11] A.P. DeCaprio, G.W. Johnson, A.M. Tarbell, D.O. Carpenter, J.R. Chiarenzelli, G.S.
 Morse, A.L. Santiago-Rivera, M.J. Schymura, E. Akwesasne Task Force, *Environmental Research* 2005 98 284.
- 357 [12] R.F. Herrick, J.D. Meeker, L. Altshul, *Environmental Health* 2011 10.
- [13] M.O. James, in *PCBs Recent Advances in Environmental Toxicology and Health Effects*, ed, L.W. Robertson, L.G. Hansen, The University Press of Kentucky, 2001.
- [14] N.O. Price, R.W. Young, J.k. Dickinson, *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 1972 139 1280.
- 362 [15] W.Y. Shui, D. Mackay, Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference data 1986 911.
- [16] M. Frederiksen, K. Vorkamp, M. Thomsen, L.E. Knudsen, *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 2009 212 109.
- [17] J. Jin, Y. Wang, C.Q. Yang, J.C. Hu, W.Z. Liu, J. Cui, X.Y. Tang, *Environment International* 2009 35 1048.
- 367 [18] S.M. Mudge, in *International Network of Environmental Forensics Conference*,
 368 Cambridge, UK, 2011.
- [19] D. Megson, S. Dack, in *Environmental Forensics, Proceedings of the 2011 INEF Conference*, ed, R.D. Morrison, G. O'Sullivan, RSC Publishing, 2011, p 244.
- [20] V.L.B. Jaspers, A. Covaci, S. Voorspoels, T. Dauwe, M. Eens, P. Schepens,
 Environmental Pollution 2006 139 340.
- 373 [21] C.D. Sandau, in, Carleton University, 2001.
- [22] R.J. Letcher, E. Klasson-Wehler, Å. Bergman, in *The Handbook of Environment Chemistry; Vol. 3, Part K: New Types of Persistent Halogenated Compounds*, ed, J. Passivita, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999, p 315.
- [23] R.B. Jain, R.Y. Wang, Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health-Part a *Current Issues* 2011 74 1225.
- [24] M.O. James, J.C. Sacco, L.R. Faux, *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* 2008 25 211.
- 381 [25] J.F. Brown, Environmental Science & Technology 1994 28 2295.
- [26] D. Megson, G. O'Sullivan, S. Comber, P.J. Worsfold, M.C. Lohan, M.R. Edwards,
 W.J. Shields, C.D. Sandau, D.G. Patterson Jr, *Science of the Total Environment* 2013 461–462 99.
- [27] L.G. Hansen, in *PCBs Recent Advances in Environmental Toxicology and Health Effects*, ed, L.W. Robertson, L.G. Hansen, The University Press of Kentucky, 2001.
- [28] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011
 <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes2003-2004/lab03_04.htm</u> (Accessed on, 21st October, 2011)
- 390[29] CentersforDiseaseControlandPrevention,2011391http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm (Accessed on, 21st October, 2011)
- [30] Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Organic Analytical Toxicology
 Branch, Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental
 Health, CDC 2006.
- 395 [31] G.W. Johnson, R. Ehrlich, W. Full, in *Introduction to Environmental Forensics*, ed,
 396 B.L. Murphy, R.D. Morrison, Academic Press, 2002.
- 397 [32] Y. Masuda, R. Kagawa, M. Kuratsun, Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and
 398 Toxicology 1974 11 213.

- [33] J.F. Brown, R.W. Lawton, in *PCBs recent advances in Environmental Toxicology and Health Effects*, ed, L.W. Robertson, L.G. Hansen, The University Press of
 Kentucky, 2001.
- 402 [34] S. Jensen, New Scientist 1966 **32** 612.
- 403 [35] G.M. Frame, Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry 1997 357 701.
- 404 [36] J.F. Focant, A. Sjodin, D.G. Patterson Jr, *Journal of Chromatography A* 2004 **1040** 405 227
- 406 [37] M. Harju, C. Danielsson, P. Haglund, *Journal of Chromatography A* 2003 1019 111.
- 407 [38] M. Zapadlo, J. Krupcik, T. Kovalczuk, P. Majek, I. Spanik, D.W. Armstrong, P.
 408 Sandra, *Journal of Chromatography A* 2011 **1218** 746.
- 409 [39] D. Megson, R.B. Kalin, P.J. Worsfold, C. Gauchotte-Lindsay, D. G. Patterson Jr,
 410 M.C. Lohan, S. Comber, G. O'Sullivan, Submitted for publication in the Journal of
- 411 Chromatography A.
- [40] J.H. Lubin, J.S. Colt, D. Camann, S. Davis, J.R. Cerhan, R.K. Severson, L. Bernstain,
 P. Hartage, *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2004 112 1691.
- 414
- 415