


**Please cite the Published Version**

Peeters, M , Van Grinsven, B, Cleij, TJ, Jiménez-Monroy, KL, Cornelis, P, Pérez-Ruiz, E, Wackers, G, Thoelen, R, De Ceuninck, W, Lammertyn, J and Wagner, P (2015) Label-free protein detection based on the heat-transfer method-a case study with the peanut allergen Ara h 1 and aptamer-based synthetic receptors. *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, 7 (19). pp. 10316-10323. ISSN 1944-8244

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b00994>

**Publisher:** American Chemical Society

**Version:** Accepted Version

**Downloaded from:** <https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/446/>

**Usage rights:**  In Copyright

**Additional Information:** This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces*, published by and copyright American Chemical Society.

**Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact [openresearch@mmu.ac.uk](mailto:openresearch@mmu.ac.uk). Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from <https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines>)

1  
2  
3 **Label-free protein detection based on the heat-transfer method – a case study with the**  
4 **peanut allergen Ara h 1 and aptamer-based synthetic receptors**  
5

6 Marloes Peeters<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Bart van Grinsven<sup>3</sup>, Thomas J. Cleij<sup>3</sup>, Kathia Lorena Jiménez-Monroy  
7<sup>1</sup>, Peter Cornelis<sup>4</sup>, Elena Pérez-Ruiz<sup>6</sup>, Gideon Wackers<sup>1,4</sup>, Ronald Thoelen<sup>1,5</sup>, Ward De  
8 Ceuninck<sup>1,5</sup>, Jeroen Lammertyn<sup>6</sup>, and Patrick Wagner<sup>1,4</sup>  
9

10  
11 1) Hasselt University, Institute for Materials Research, Wetenschapspark 1, 3590 Diepenbeek,  
12 Belgium  
13

14 2) Queen Mary University of London, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Mile End  
15 Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom  
16

17 3) Maastricht University, Maastricht Science Programme, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD  
18 Maastricht, the Netherlands  
19

20 4) Catholic University Leuven, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Soft-Matter and  
21 Biophysics Section, Celestijnenlaan 200 D, 3001 Leuven, Belgium  
22

23 5) IMEC v.z.w. division IMOMECE, Wetenschapspark 1, 3590 Diepenbeek, Belgium  
24

25 6) KU Leuven – University of Leuven BIOSYST-MeBioS, Willem de Crooylaan 42, 3000  
26 Leuven, Belgium  
27

28 **Abstract:** Aptamers are an emerging class of molecules which, due to the development of the  
29 systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) process, can recognize  
30 virtually every target ranging from ions, to proteins, and even whole cells. While there are  
31 many techniques capable of detecting template molecules with aptamer-based systems with  
32 high specificity and selectivity, they lack the possibility of integrating them into a compact  
33 and portable biosensor setup. Therefore, we will present the heat-transfer method (HTM) as  
34 an interesting alternative since this offers detection in a fast and low-cost manner and has the  
35 possibility of performing experiments with a fully integrated device. This concept has been  
36 demonstrated for a variety of applications including DNA mutation analysis and screening of  
37 cancer cells. To our knowledge, this is the first report on HTM-based detection of proteins, in  
38 this case specifically with aptamer-type receptors. For proof-of-principle purposes,  
39 measurements will be performed with the peanut allergen Ara h 1 and results indicate  
40 detection limits in the lower nanomolar regime in buffer liquid. As a first proof-of-  
41 application, spiked Ara h 1 solutions will be studied in a food matrix of dissolved peanut  
42 butter. Reference experiments with the quartz-crystal microbalance will allow for an estimate  
43 of the areal density of aptamer molecules on the sensor-chip surface.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Keywords:** label-free biosensors, biomimetic sensors, heat-transfer method (HTM),  
4 aptamers, proteins, Ara h 1.  
5  
6

7  
8 **Corresponding author\*:** Dr. Marloes Peeters  
9 Queen Mary University of London  
10 School of Biological and Chemical Sciences  
11 Mile End Road  
12 London E1 4NS  
13 United Kingdom  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Phone: + 44 – 20 – 78 82 33 20

20 E-mail: [m.peeters@qmul.ac.uk](mailto:m.peeters@qmul.ac.uk)  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1. Introduction

Aptamers are synthetic oligonucleotides which can specifically bind target molecules based on a combination of hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions, van der Waals forces and their three dimensional conformation<sup>1,2</sup>. The selection of the optimal aptamer for a given target molecule is accomplished *in vitro* via a SELEX process (Systematic Evolutions of Ligands by EXponential enrichment) from libraries containing random oligonucleotide sequences<sup>3,4</sup>. With this procedure, it is possible to develop aptamers for a variety of target categories ranging from ions<sup>5</sup>, to proteins<sup>6,7</sup>, and even to whole cells<sup>8,9</sup>. Aptasensors have already been designed based on capillary electrophoresis<sup>10</sup>, colorimetric assays<sup>11</sup>, fluorescence anisotropy<sup>12</sup>, surface plasmon resonance<sup>13</sup>, and microgravimetric sensing<sup>14</sup>. These techniques ensure a high specificity and selectivity; however they are less suited for integration into portable, low-cost sensor devices. In that aspect, field-effect transducers and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy are interesting alternatives<sup>15,16,17</sup>. Tran *et al.* described an aptamer-based, impedimetric sensor platform which could specifically detect IgE in buffer solutions and human serum samples<sup>18</sup>. These measurements were performed with a high-end impedance analyzer requiring comparatively long measurement times and a refined data analysis based on the phase angle of the impedance signal. More recently, Peeters *et al.* developed an aptamer-based, impedimetric sensor system in pocket format, allowing detecting the peanut allergen Ara h 1 specifically and quantitatively correct in the lower nanomolar regime in buffers<sup>19</sup>. The sensor output in this case was simply the amplitude of the impedance signal at a given frequency. While impedimetric measurements allow for a considerable system miniaturization, data acquisition and processing still require a piece of software- and hardware engineering.

Within in this article, we will therefore present an aptasensor based on a purely thermometric concept, the heat-transfer method (HTM). This technique has the benefits of being straightforward and requiring only limited hardware, merely two temperature sensors and a heat-source that can be regulated to keep a pre-defined temperature. This is analogous to a *dc* resistivity measurement in which the electronic current is replaced by a thermal current. Until date, this method has been applied successfully for the analysis of DNA mutations<sup>20,21</sup>, detection of cells and small organic molecules<sup>22,23</sup>, and phase transitions in lipids<sup>24</sup>, but not yet in the context of proteins. The latter is considered as one of the most challenging tasks in label-free biosensing and it is *a priori* unclear whether the recognition of proteins by aptamers brings about measurable changes of the heat-transfer resistance. We

1  
2  
3 point out that the HTM principle is conceptually different from the approach by Wang *et al.*  
4 who detected thrombin with aptamers by determining the binding enthalpy calorimetrically in  
5 a sandwich-type assay with detection limits down to 22 nM<sup>25</sup>. In contrast to this, HTM aims at  
6 the detection of molecules from the heat-transfer resistance under steady-state conditions and  
7 does not necessitate a temperature ramping of the sample under study.  
8  
9

10  
11 Until now, the heat-transfer principle is based on empirical grounds and the theoretical  
12 foundations are not yet developed. However, there is clearly an interest for heat transport  
13 through biological molecules as illustrated *e.g.* by the vibrational-dynamics studies on double-  
14 and single-stranded DNA molecules<sup>26</sup> and the molecular dynamics simulations of heat flow  
15 through lipid membranes<sup>27</sup>. As a possible starting point for a deeper understanding of the  
16 principle behind the HTM technique we note that all aforementioned applications have a  
17 common denominator: The enhancement of the heat-transfer resistance goes along with a  
18 structural softening at the solid (sensor chip) to liquid interface. In case of DNA this is the  
19 transition from 'rigid' double-stranded DNA to highly flexible single-stranded DNA<sup>21</sup>. In case  
20 of cell recognition by surface imprints, mechanically flexible membrane material adheres to  
21 the polymeric surface<sup>28</sup>. A jump-like  $R_{th}$  increase is observed when lipid vesicles undergo  
22 their main phase transition from the gel- to the liquid-disordered phase<sup>24</sup>. Finally, the small-  
23 molecule recognition by molecularly imprinted polymers is based on the weak and reversible  
24 binding of these molecules in molecular-size cavities. In summary, these are interface effects  
25 in which the molecular layer right at the interface is gaining additional degrees of freedom.  
26 Regarding aptamers, we will address below whether the capturing of proteins is also  
27 associated with gaining additional degrees of freedom at the solid-to-liquid interface.  
28 Throughout all experiments described below, *i.e.* before, during and after capturing of the  
29 target proteins, the aptamers are in their natural, temperature- and pH-dependent conformation  
30 without utilizing neutralizing oligomers: This concept, introduced recently by Das and  
31 coworkers, forces aptamers into a straight and rigid configuration before binding of the  
32 molecular targets and seems beneficial for achieving ultralow detection limits when combined  
33 with a fluorescence-based readout system<sup>29</sup>.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 For proof-of-principle purposes, we will first show the HTM-based thermal detection of Ara h  
52 1 in buffer solutions with an aptamer-based sensor platform. This system was selected for two  
53 reasons: First, the molecular recognition between the aptamer and Ara h 1 has already been  
54 thoroughly studied<sup>30</sup> and, second, it is highly relevant for the food industry as the peanut  
55 allergen Ara h 1 (a trimeric protein with 195 kDa molecular weight) is responsible for most  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 food-related anaphylactic shocks<sup>18</sup>. To demonstrate the principle not only in buffer solutions  
4 but to provide also a first proof-of-application, experiments will be performed with spiked  
5 Ara h 1 samples in a matrix enriched with peanut butter. The sensor platform is generic,  
6 making it probably possible to detect a variety of other proteins with only minor  
7 modifications, provided that corresponding aptamers do exist. In summary, this is the first  
8 sensor platform based on the thermal HTM read-out technique, which can detect proteins in  
9 buffers and, moreover, also in more complex matrices. This illustrates that also the molecular  
10 recognition of a protein by an aptamer goes along with a measurable heat-transfer effect.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

## 18 2. Experimental

### 19 2.1 Materials

20  
21  
22 The thiol 11-mercapto-undecanoic acid (MUA) and bovine serum albumin (BSA,  $M_r \sim 66.5$   
23 kDa) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl-  
24 aminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) from Thermo Scientific (Aalst, Belgium). The peanut  
25 allergen Ara h 1 was obtained from INDOOR technologies (Cardiff, Wales) and used as  
26 received. The compounds required for the preparation of the buffers, respectively, 2-(N-  
27 morpholino)ethanesul-fonic acid (MES buffer, pH 6.0), tris(hydroxyamino)methane-glycine-  
28 potassium (TGK buffer, pH 8.3) and phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS buffer, pH 7.4),  
29 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) and Fisher Scientific (Landsmeer,  
30 the Netherlands). Ethanol of analytical grade (anhydrous, purity 99.9%) was from VWR  
31 (Leuven, Belgium). The optimal aptamer for Ara h 1 detection had been determined in  
32 previous research by Tran *et al.* and the sequence is given below<sup>13</sup>.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Aptamer sequence:  $\text{NH}_2 - \text{C}_6 - 5'$


42  
43 TCGCACATTCCGCTTCTACCGGGGGGGTTCGAGCTGAGTGGATGCGAATCTGTGGG  
44 TGGGCCGTAAGTCCGTGTGTGCGAA 3'

45  
46  
47 This sequence, consisting of 80 nucleotide bases, was ordered from IDT Technologies  
48 (Leuven, Belgium). The 5' end, serving for attachment to the thiols, was modified with an  
49 amino group and a  $\text{C}_6$  carbon spacer. The structural conformation of the aptamer at selected  
50 temperatures, calculated with the online software package 'Mfold'<sup>31</sup>, can be found in ref<sup>18</sup>.  
51 As shown previously, this optimized Ara-h1 aptamer shows negligible cross selectivity to  
52 proteins with a similar molecular weight such as horse-radish peroxidase (HRP) and BSA<sup>19</sup>.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Even more importantly, reference tests with a second important peanut allergen, Ara h 2 with 17 kDa, have proven negative<sup>13</sup>.

## 2.2 Aptamer functionalization of sensor chips and protein-sample preparation

The sensor chips, see **Figure 1** for a schematic layout, consisted of gilded silicon substrates ( $1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$ ,  $450 \mu\text{m}$  thickness) which were prepared as follows: First, a 20 nm adhesive layer of chromium was thermally evaporated under a vacuum pressure of  $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Pa}$  onto doped silicon chips, followed then by a 80 nm layer of gold. These chips were treated with a Digital PSD series UV-ozone system from Novoscan (Nürnberg, Germany) for 1 h in order to clean the surface and make them more hydrophilic by surface-bound oxygen species. Subsequently, they were briefly exposed to a cold “piranha” solution ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in a 1:3 ratio), rinsed with ethanol, and then incubated for 48 h with a MUA thiol solution in ethanol (concentration 1 mM) at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. After cleaning the samples in pure ethanol, the amino-terminated Ara h 1 aptamers were attached via direct EDC coupling in MES buffer of pH 6. This process was monitored *in-real time* by probing the thermal resistance at the solid-liquid interface. In order to reduce non-specific adsorption, especially of proteins, the aptamer-functionalized gold surface was blocked by immersing the substrates overnight into a BSA solution (50 nM in PBS, temperature of  $4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ), generating a blocking BSA monolayer<sup>18</sup>.



**Figure 1:** Scheme of the sensor-chip layout in which the amino-modified aptamer is EDC-coupled to a self-assembled monolayer of MUA thiols on gold. The BSA overcoating serves for blocking non-specific adsorption. Under the chosen conditions (TGK buffer with pH 8.3,  $37 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) the aptamer is expected to attain the indicated conformation before binding of the Ara

1  
2  
3 h 1 antigen. The flow direction of the heating power  $P$  is indicated. The aptamer, BSA, and  
4 Ara h 1 are drawn to scale, the scale bar indicates  $10 \text{ nm}^{32,33}$ .  
5  
6  
7

8 The aptasensor was now ready for use and, after stabilizing in TGK buffer, various solutions  
9 of Ara h 1 concentrations (5, 10, 15, 25, and 50 nM) in TGK buffer were added sequentially  
10 to the set up. To address the specificity of the molecular recognition, reference experiments  
11 were also performed with a chip with only the SAM and its BSA overcoating, but without  
12 presence of the aptamer. As a first proof-of-application, measurements were performed in a  
13 matrix enriched with peanut butter. To obtain these samples, 50 mg of peanut butter (Unilever  
14 – Calvé, Delft, the Netherlands) was first molten and then dissolved into 200 ml of TGK  
15 buffer by stirring for 2 hours at  $50^\circ\text{C}$ . After filtration through a filter with 1 micron pore size,  
16 the resulting fluid (also addressed as ‘buffer diluted extract’) was split into two aliquots one of  
17 which was unaltered and the other one spiked with 100 nM of Ara h 1. The concentration of  
18 Ara h 1 in the non-spiked, buffer-diluted extract can be estimated as follows: The amount of  
19 25 mg peanut butter per 100 ml equals 20 mg of pure peanut substance, corresponding to 5  
20 mg of proteins. According to literature, the maximum percentage of Ara h 1 in the total  
21 protein contents is 16%<sup>34,35</sup>, meaning that there is maximal 0.8 mg of Ara h 1 present in the  
22 100 ml of extract. Together with the molecular mass of Ara h 1 (195 kDa) this corresponds to  
23 an upper limit of the Ara h 1 concentration of 40 nM.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36


### 37 *2.3 The thermal resistance set up*

38 The equipment used for the thermal resistance measurements was an in-house design, see  
39 Figure 2, which was described previously in detail in ref<sup>20</sup>. A schematic drawing with the  
40 exact dimensions of the equipment can be found in Figure S-1 of the Supporting Information.  
41 To this system, a Perspex flow cell with an inner volume of  $110 \mu\text{l}$  (6 mm diameter, 4 mm  
42 and inner height) was coupled, connected to a syringe-driven flow pump. The functionalized  
43 sensor chips were mounted horizontally in the set up in order to prevent sedimentation of  
44 heavier components, causing possibly non-specific signals by physical adsorption. The sensor  
45 chip was hereby pressed mechanically onto the copper block. This block served as thermal  
46 reservoir and heat flow was generated using a thermistor on top of the copper block. The  
47 temperature of the copper,  $T_1$ , was measured by a thermocouple and actively steered through  
48 a PID controller ( $P = 8$ ,  $I = 1$ ,  $D = 0$ ) which in turn was regulating the heating power.  
49 Throughout the measurement, this temperature was kept constant at  $37.00^\circ\text{C}$  in order to  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



mimic body temperature and the ambient temperature remained constant at 19.0 °C. The temperature in the liquid,  $T_2$ , was measured with a second miniaturized thermocouple, positioned in the middle of the flow-through cell at a distance of 1.7 mm underneath the chip surface. The thermal resistance ( $R_{th}$ , given in °C/W) was then obtained by dividing the temperature difference ( $T_1 - T_2$ ) through the input power  $P$  that is required to keep the copper block at the constant temperature  $T_1 = 37.0$  °C (Equation I). While the absolute value of  $R_{th}$  is governed mainly by the thermal conductivity of the buffer liquid, the positioning of the heated sensor chip at the top of the flow-through cell guarantees that there is no convection of liquid, which could possibly compromise the results.

$$R_{th} = \frac{T_1 - T_2}{P} \quad (I)$$



**Figure 2:** Schematic view of an aptamer-based setup for detecting changes of the thermal resistance upon molecular recognition of proteins. The thermal flow, generated by a thermistor, passes from the backside of the chip through the receptor layer into the sample liquid (heat-flow direction indicated by a red arrow). The temperature gradient is monitored using two thermocouples.

#### 2.4 Microgravimetric measurements

Reference measurements with the quartz-crystal microbalance were performed on a QCM-D E4 system manufactured by Q-SENSE (Gothenburg, Sweden) and data were analyzed with QTools software. We employed UV-ozone treated gold-coated quartz crystals with a nominal

1  
2  
3 resonance frequency  $f_0 = 4.95$  MHz and an active area size of  $75 \text{ mm}^2$ . One crystal was left  
4 blank, a second was activated with a self-assembled MUA-thiol linker layer and blocked with  
5 BSA, and the third chip received the full treatment with the linker layer, tethering of aptamers  
6 and finally blocked with BSA as well. All these steps were carried out according to the  
7 protocols given in Section 2.2. The measurement temperature (liquid and chip are here at an  
8 identical temperature) was  $37 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and we evaluated frequency shifts of the fundamental  
9 resonance frequency. Due to instrumental constraints, the QCM-sensor chips were mounted  
10 horizontally with the active coating facing upward towards the liquid sample.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17


## 18 **1. Results and discussion**

### 19 *3.1 Thermal monitoring of aptamer functionalization*

20  
21  
22 Prior to the actual protein-recognition experiment, we studied whether the tethering of the  
23 aptamers to the thiol-functionalized sensor chips would already bring about a measurable  
24 increase of the heat-transfer resistance  $R_{\text{th}}$ . In previous experiments with DNA sequences  
25 from exons of the PAH gene, it was observed that ds-DNA with up to 123 base pairs does not  
26 cause an  $R_{\text{th}}$  increase; this length is slightly below the persistence length of ds-DNA and the  
27 fragments are still considered as being ‘mechanically quasi-stiff’. After denaturation to single-  
28 stranded fragments consisting of 123 bases, a clear  $R_{\text{th}}$  jump was observed and explained on  
29 grounds of the reduced persistence length of ss-DNA and curling up into Flory spheres<sup>20,36</sup>.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 In the present work, the immobilization of the 80-bases aptamer molecules was  
38 performed directly inside the HTM flow-through cell illustrated in Figure 2. First, a baseline  
39 was established by mounting a chip, comprising the thiol-linker layer, inside the cell and  
40 filling it up with MES buffer. Then, a solution containing MES buffer, aptamer molecules  
41 ( $0.1 \text{ } \mu\text{M}$ ), and EDC ( $400 \text{ mM}$ ) was added. The temperature  $T_1$  was kept constant at  $37.00 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$   
42 throughout the experiment. **Figure 3** presents the measured  $R_{\text{th}}$  values as bar charts  
43 normalized to a baseline defined by the heat-transfer resistance before addition of the  
44 aptamers and the EDC reagent. The baseline of 100% corresponds to an absolute value  $R_{\text{th}}$  of  
45  $8.01 \pm 0.14 \text{ }^\circ\text{C/W}$ , determined by the materials, dimensions, and interfaces present along the  
46 heat-flow path between the two temperature sensors. The height of each bar in Figure 3 was  
47 determined as an averaged value over 1000 individual  $R_{\text{th}}$  data points, taken during a period of  
48 1000 s with a sampling rate of 1 point per second. The width of the error bars is given by the  
49 standard deviation of the data with respect to the mean value within each of the five  
50 considered time intervals. Between 3000 s (50 minutes) and 4000 s (67 minutes) after addition  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

of the aptamer-EDC mixture, there is no significant change anymore in  $R_{th}$ , indicating that the reaction is completed. This duration of 60 minutes for an EDC-mediated linking reaction of amino-modified nucleotides to COOH groups is similar to the earlier reported optimal duration of approximately 2 hours<sup>37</sup>. This value of 2 hours was determined with a different monitoring technique (confocal fluorescence microscopy) and on polycrystalline diamond films, a chip material with a higher roughness than the gold layers employed within this study. Therefore, the 60 minutes for optimal EDC coupling found here are in line with the previous results of ref<sup>38</sup>.



**Figure 3:** Relative increase of the heat-transfer resistance  $R_{th}$  during the EDC coupling of aptamers to the thiol-SAM linker layer. The baseline of 100% (corresponding to an absolute  $R_{th} = 8.0 \pm 0.14$  °C/W) was obtained during stabilization in MES buffer. A saturated aptamer coating increases the relative  $R_{th}$  to  $108 \pm 0.8$  %. Each bar represents data averaged over a time interval of 1000 s.


The most important observation from Figure 3 is the fact that an aptamer monolayer displays a measurable  $R_{th}$  contribution (increase by  $0.64$  °C/W or 7.9 %). This is similar to single-stranded DNA, even quantitatively regarding the amplitude of the effect, and in contrast to double-stranded DNA where no measurable  $R_{th}$  increase occurs even for rather high areal densities of more than  $10^{12}$  ds-fragments per  $cm^2$ <sup>20</sup>. Correspondingly, it seems reasonable to assume that aptamers do have a mechanical flexibility irrespective of preferential folding such as indicated in Figure 1.

1  
2  
3 Next, one may assume that the adsorption of a BSA blocking layer should result in a second  
4 increase of the absolute  $R_{th}$  value: The adsorption process has to be performed at 4 °C in the  
5 refrigerator, meaning that it is not possible to monitor the BSA adsorption in real time  
6 because the HTM principle is only applicable when a temperature gradient is present. Instead,  
7 we performed a reference experiment with  $R_{th}$  monitoring at room temperature while the chip  
8 temperature was kept constant at  $T_1 = 37.0$  °C. During the adsorption of BSA on a sensor chip  
9 covered with only the MUA SAM (no aptamer functionalization) we observed a minor  $R_{th}$   
10 increase by  $0.1 \pm 0.05$  °C. This increase stayed persistent even after rinsing and the data are  
11 given in the **Supporting Information**, Figure S2. This confirms the strong adhesive  
12 properties of BSA while its impact on the heat-transfer resistance is significantly less  
13 pronounced as compared to the aptamer.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

### 23 *3.2 Thermal monitoring of protein recognition and reference testing*

24  
25 After depositing the BSA overcoating, the sensor chip was installed again in the thermal-  
26 resistance setup (Figure 2) and the  $R_{th}$  measurement was started after filling the flow-through  
27 cell with TGK buffer and allowing stabilizing for 30 minutes. This initial stabilizing  
28 guarantees that the system is in thermal equilibrium. Then, Ara-h1 spiked TGK buffer was  
29 injected manually with increasing concentrations from 5 to 50 nM. Each spiked TGK sample  
30 had a volume of 1 ml, exceeding the inner volume of the flow-through cell 9 times. This way,  
31 we ensured that liquid from the previous concentration under study was fully removed from  
32 the flow-through cell and the tubing. **Figure 4A** shows a stepwise increase of the heat-transfer  
33 resistance for each next-higher concentration while the waiting time before adding the next-  
34 higher concentration was chosen 20 to 30 minutes. Immediately after injection of the next  
35 concentration there is a temporary overshooting of the  $R_{th}$  signal: This is an artifact due to the  
36 fact that the injected fluid is at room temperature, taking approximately 5 minutes to achieve  
37 again thermal-equilibrium conditions. Therefore, data obtained during the first 5 minutes for a  
38 given concentration are discarded. **Figure 4B** displays the concentration dependence of the  
39 normalized increase, reaching roughly 9 % for 50 nM of Ara h 1 in TGK. The width of the  
40 error bars represents the standard deviation on three separate measurements with the averaged  
41 value for a given concentration, ignoring data taken during the first five minutes after  
42 injection. For reference purposes, Figure 4B comprises also the normalized  $R_{th}$  data for the  
43 same Ara h 1 concentrations, but measured with a sensor chip without the aptamer  
44 functionality. All other chip features and handling, including the MUA-thiol SAM and the  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

BSA overcoating, are identical. Irrespective of the Ara-h 1 exposure, the  $R_{th}$  values measured with this reference chip remain constant within the error bars and only for the highest concentration (50 nM) one might infer a slight and non-specific  $R_{th}$  increase to  $101 \pm 0.8 \%$ .



**Figure 4:** The upper panel (A) shows the absolute values of the heat-transfer resistance of the device with an aptamer-functionalized sensor chip for various concentrations of Ara h 1 in TGK buffer. The displayed data are non-smoothed, raw data. The lower panel (B) presents the data of panel A as solid boxes on a normalized scale with an allometric fit line according to Equation II. Error bars were calculated over three individual measurements with freshly prepared samples. The reference data given as open boxes were obtained with an identically

1  
2  
3 prepared sensor chip lacking the aptamer functionality. Within error bars, there is no  
4 indication for a false-positive response.  
5  
6

7  
8 At this point it is verified that i) the protein recognition by aptamers enhances the interfacial  
9 heat-transfer resistance, ii) that the dose-response curve can give a quantitative information on  
10 the protein concentration, and iii) that the BSA overcoating has protein-repellant properties.  
11 The observation iii) is evident and expected from daily laboratory practice while i) and ii) are  
12 novelties and can thus be utilized as a new technique for label-free protein detection.  
13

14 From Figure 4B we can now derive an estimate for the limit of detection LOD; the fit curve  
15 follows an allometric formula given by Equation II:  
16  
17

$$y = a \cdot x^b \quad (\text{II})$$


18  
19  
20  
21 Here,  $x$  is the Ara h 1 concentration in nanoMolar units and  $y$  is the sensor response in % with  
22 100 % corresponding to the baseline. The parameters of the fit curve are  $a = 99.1$  %,  $b =$   
23 0.024 with a coefficient of determination  $R^2 = 0.92$ . The allometric fit describes the saturation  
24 effect occurring at high target molecules concentration and has been used before in ref<sup>21,23</sup>.  
25  
26

27  
28 The standard deviation of the signal at the lowest concentration (baseline) is 0.5 %. Defining  
29 the LOD as the concentration where the signal amplitude corresponds to the threefold  
30 standard deviation we estimate an LOD of 3 nM. The limit of quantification, corresponding to  
31 five times the standard deviation, is accordingly found at an Ara h 1 concentration of 4 nM.  
32 This agrees very well with the previously obtained value for aptamer-based Ara h 1 detection  
33 using impedance spectroscopy as readout technique<sup>18</sup>. For comparison, impedimetric protein  
34 sensors employing natural antibodies can still reach lower detection limits (< 1 nM), but  
35 antibodies are not really competitive with aptamers regarding their price, shelf-life, and  
36 reusability<sup>39</sup>.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 50 51 *3.3 Ara h 1 detection in peanut-butter extract*

52 First, a baseline was established in TGK buffer after which the peanut extract (50 mg of  
53 peanut butter in 200 ml TGK, see Section 2.2) was added and subsequently the extract that  
54 was additionally spiked with 100 nM of Ara h 1. The results are shown in **Figure 5** as bar  
55 charts for exposure times 30 min each, discarding data collected during the first 5 minutes  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 after injection. Upon exposing the functionalized sensor chip to the non-spiked peanut extract,  
4 a first increase by  $2 \pm 0.8 \%$  was observed. By using the dose-response characteristics in  
5 buffer solutions according to Equation 2 this would correspond to an Ara h 1 concentration of  
6  $\approx 3 - 5 \text{ nM}$ , thus below the maximum of  $40 \text{ nM}$  estimated from the protein content of the  
7 peanut butter. We point out that according to prior literature the Ara h 1 content decreases  
8 with increasing roasting time as compared to raw peanuts<sup>34</sup>. When the spiked solution ( $100$   
9  $\text{ nM}$  of Ara h 1) was introduced, the  $R_{th}$  value went further up to  $111 \pm 0.7 \%$ . Assuming that  
10 the analytical form of the dose-response curve (Equation II) stays valid beyond the maximum  
11 concentration used for calibration, the increase to  $111.3 \%$  would correspond to an Ara h 1  
12 concentration of  $126 \text{ nM}$ . This is in good agreement with the value of  $100 \text{ nM}$  from spiking  
13 plus native Ara h 1 present in the peanut butter.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24




25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 **Figure 5:** Measuring Ara h 1 in a peanut butter enriched matrix: The baseline was obtained in  
44 pure TGK buffer and the sample obtained from dissolved and filtrated peanut butter displays a  
45 measurable  $R_{th}$  increase by  $\pm 2.2 \%$ . The sample spiked with  $100 \text{ nM}$  of Ara h 1 (the total  
46 Ara-h1 contents slightly exceeds  $100 \text{ nM}$ ) shows a substantial  $R_{th}$  increase by almost  $12 \%$  as  
47 compared to baseline level.  
48  
49  
50

51  
52  
53 This is a first proof-of-application showing that screening of allergens can also be performed  
54 in liquefied and filtrated food samples. Furthermore, the magnitude of the  $R_{th}$  increase is  
55 surprisingly high comparing the size of the molecules that are bound to the solid-to-liquid  
56 interface to the overall macroscopic dimensions of the heat-flow path.  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 3.4 Comparative study with quartz-crystal microbalance QCM

Since the HTM-based results for protein detection are the first of their kind, additional control experiments were performed with the QCM, a well-established bioanalytical technique. For these experiments, we employed three Au-coated, standard QCM crystals: The first crystal was used as delivered with a non-modified gold surface, the second crystal was functionalized with a SAM-layer of MUA thiols and subsequently treated with a BSA blocking layer. The third crystal was functionalized with the SAM, followed by EDC linking of the aptamers, and finally overcoated with a BSA layer. All surface modifications were carried out according to the protocols given in Section 2.2 for the preparation of the HTM sensor chips. These references were used in order to verify the efficacy of the sensor coating independently and also to check for matrix-related viscosity effects due to the presence of peanut butter. Furthermore, there is a relevant difference with HTM because the functionalized quartz-crystals have to be mounted horizontally in the QCM device with the functionalized surface pointing upward. Therefore, the QCM data can in principle be affected by sedimentation of microparticles or heavier molecules. The experiment was conducted according to the same procedure as for the HTM experiments described in Section 3.3: First, the signal was stabilized in TGK buffer; thereafter, the non-spiked peanut extract was added, and finally the three different QCM chips were exposed to the peanut extract spiked with 100 nM of Ara h 1. The QCM-response of the three differently prepared quartz crystals to these three different solutions is summarized in **Figure 6**.





**Figure 6:** Shift of the resonance frequency  $\Delta f$  of the quartz crystals up exposure to pure TGK buffer (baseline), to the non-spike peanut extract, and to peanut extract spiked with 100 nM Ara h 1. The black bars correspond to non-treated quartz crystals with gold coating, the red bar represent crystals coated with the MUA, SAM and BSA, and the blue bars represent samples with MUA, SAM, aptamer functionalization and the BSA blocking layer.

The addition of the peanut extract or the extract spiked with Ara h 1 did not result in a significant frequency shift when the Au-coated QCM crystal was left non-functionalized. For the spiked sample we see a shift of  $2 \pm 1$  Hz which is not there in case of the non-spiked extract. From this we can at least conclude that the measurements are not affected by the slightly enhanced viscosity of the extract as compared to pure TGK buffer. In case that the QCM crystals were treated with a SAM layer blocked with BSA, the crystal became more hydrophilic and was more prone to non-specific absorption. With only the peanut extract the increase was insignificant, but when spiked with 100 nM Ara h 1, a decrease by  $15 \pm 1$  Hz was measured. This was however still significantly lower compared to the fully aptamer-functionalized crystal: In that case with the extract already a significant decrease by  $6 \pm 1$  Hz was observed and with the spiked extract this resulted in a frequency drop by  $30 \pm 1$  Hz. By taking the difference between the reference experiment (crystal with SAM and BSA, only

1  
2  
3 non-specific adsorption) and the sample functionalized with aptamer (non-specific adsorption  
4 and specific recognition together), the signal attributed to specific binding of Ara h 1  
5 corresponds to 15 Hz. Here, we refer to the data obtained with the spiked extract and we not  
6 that the observed frequency shifts remained stable even after rinsing with TGK buffer.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 If we assume that the Sauerbrey equation is valid, this frequency response equals a mass  
12 addition of roughly 204 ng or  $6.2 \cdot 10^{11}$  specifically bound Ara h 1 molecules. Due to the high  
13 spiked Ara h 1 concentration we can furthermore assume that each of the tethered aptamer  
14 molecules captures one Ara h 1 protein. In combination with the active surface area of the  
15 QCM-sensor chips of  $75 \text{ mm}^2$  we can deduce an areal density of approximately  $8 \cdot 10^{11}$  bound  
16 aptamers per square centimeter. This is in the same order as the earlier determined surface  
17 density of double-stranded DNA fragments tethered to synthetic diamond layers with the  
18 fatty-acid & EDC coupling technique, being widely analogous with the protocol described in  
19 Section 2.2<sup>20,38</sup>. Furthermore, we can give a rough estimate for the Flory radius  $r_F$  of an  
20 aptamer based on Equation III when ignoring for simplicity its self-folding properties<sup>20</sup>:  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

$$r_F \approx \sqrt{\frac{l_p \cdot L}{3}} \quad \text{(III)}$$

28  
29  
30  
31  
32 The persistence length of single-stranded DNA is  $l_p = 1.5 \text{ nm}$  and the fragment length is  $L =$   
33  $28 \text{ nm}$  for 85 bases. This results in a Flory radius  $r_F \approx 3.7 \text{ nm}$  or  $2.3 \cdot 10^{12}$  aptamers per  $\text{cm}^2$ ,  
34 being approximately three times more than our indirect evaluation based on the QCM results.  
35  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 The data illustrate that also QCM allows detecting Ara h 1 in a spiked but complex matrix.  
41 The QCM responds also to the non-spiked peanut extract; however the signal does not exceed  
42 the LOD defined by the threefold noise level and is partially due to non-specific adsorption  
43 effects. The adsorption effect is noticeable in the spiked- as well as in the non-spiked peanut  
44 extract which can be attributed to the horizontal configuration with the QCM chip underneath  
45 the fluid under study.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

#### 52 **4. Conclusions**

53  
54 The heat-transfer method (HTM) monitors the properties at the solid-liquid interface and has  
55 been used in the past for the detection of cells, small organic molecules, and phase transition  
56 in lipids. We have shown for the first time the possibility to determine also protein  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 concentrations with this technique. This was demonstrated by employing an aptamer-based  
4 receptor system for the peanut protein Ara h 1. First, the functionalization procedure was  
5 followed *in situ*, confirming the presence of the aptamer on the gold surface, which had been  
6 pre-activated with a MUA-thiol linker layer. Then, proof-of-principle measurements were  
7 performed with Ara h 1 in buffer solutions. A dose-response curve was constructed up to Ara  
8 h 1 concentrations of 50 nM and the detection limit was in the order of  $\sim 3$  nM, comparable to  
9 optimized values obtained by impedance spectroscopy. As a first proof-of-application, peanut  
10 extract and peanut extract spiked with Ara h 1 were studied, demonstrating the possibility to  
11 screen for trace allergens in liquefied and filtered food matrices. A distinguishable signal was  
12 already obtained with non-spiked peanut extract in which 50 mg of peanut butter was  
13 dissolved in a 20.000 times higher volume of TGK buffer.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 To verify these results independently, additional experiments were conducted with the quartz-  
24 crystal microbalance QCM: Also this microgravimetric technique is capable of detecting Ara  
25 h 1 in a food matrix using aptamer receptors; however, it is considerably harder to integrate  
26 QCM in a miniaturized, portable and cost-efficient device. Nevertheless, the QCM  
27 experiments provided a very reasonable and realistic estimate for the areal density of aptamer  
28 receptors on gold surfaces in the order of  $8 \cdot 10^{11}$  molecules per square centimeter. We point  
29 out that HTM requires especially little instrumental equipment while it can detect proteins  
30 specifically and label-free even in complex matrices. The combination of a blocking layer  
31 with an upside-down positioning of the sensor chip allowed to suppress non-specific  
32 adsorption to the widest possible extend. Moreover, the aptamers stayed at any time in their  
33 intrinsic conformation without necessitating the use of neutralizing oligomers, which are  
34 displaced upon binding of the target proteins. We assume that the described methodology  
35 offers a new approach for the quantitative detection of proteins including allergens and  
36 applications can be seen in biomedical- and clinical research as well as in food-safety  
37 screening.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 **Supporting Information Available**

50  
51  
52 In the Supporting Information, a design with the exact dimensions of the heat-transfer  
53 resistance set up is provided and the effect on the thermal resistance when a BSA overcoating  
54 is applied onto the sample in order to minimize non-specific binding. Supporting information  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to this document contains additional graphs that are referred to in the text. This material is  
4 available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.  
5  
6  
7

### 8 **Author Information**

#### 9 10 **Corresponding author**

11  
12 Dr. Marloes Peeters. Present address: Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road,  
13 E14NS London, United Kingdom.  
14

15 Phone: + 44 – 20 – 78 82 33 20, Email: [m.peeters@qmul.ac.uk](mailto:m.peeters@qmul.ac.uk)  
16  
17  
18  
19

#### 20 **Author Contributions**

21  
22 M.P. developed the recognition layer, prepared all samples and wrote the manuscript. The  
23 heat-transfer device was designed by B.v.G, and all heat-transfer measurements were performed by  
24 B.v.G. in cooperation with M.P. and T.C. B.v.G. and M.P. interpreted the heat-transfer data in close  
25 cooperation with W.D.C., R.T. and P.W.. K.L.J.M, P.C. and J.L assisted with the modeling of the  
26 aptamers and Ara h 1. E.R.P. provided the aptamers and assisted with questions regarding the  
27 immobilization protocols and conformational aspects. G.W. performed the heat-transfer measurements  
28 of the BSA overcoating. The manuscript was written by M.P. in collaboration with P.W. All authors  
29 have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Acknowledgements:** This work is supported by the Research Foundation Flanders FWO  
42 (project G.0997.11N), the Life Science Initiative of the Belgian Province of Limburg and the  
43 European Commission's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under the grant  
44 agreement BIOMAX (Project No. 264737). The authors also would like to thank H. Penxten,  
45 J. Soogen, C. Willems, J. Baccus, and P. Robaey for technical assistance as well as M.  
46 Khorshid and M. Dollt for providing the heat-transport data on BSA coatings.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

#### 54 **References**

55  
56 [1] Hermann, T. and Patel, D.J. Adaptive Recognition by Nucleic Acid Aptamers, *Science*  
57 **2000**, 287, 820 – 825.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [2] Brody, E.N. and Gold, L. Aptamers as Therapeutic and Diagnostic Agents, *Rev. Mol.*  
4 *Biotechnol.* **2000**, 74, 5 – 13.
- 5  
6 [3] Fang, X. and Tan, W. Aptamers Generated from Cell-SELEX for Molecular Medicine : A  
7 Chemical Biology Approach, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, 43, 48 – 57.
- 8  
9 [4] Stoltenburg, R.; Reinemann, C.; Strehlitz, B. FluMag-SELEX as an Advantageous  
10 Method for DNA Aptamer Selection, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2005**, 383, 83 – 91.
- 11  
12 [5] Li, L.; Li, B.; Qi, Y.; Jin, Y.; Label-free Aptamer-based Colorimetric Detection of  
13 Mercury Ions in Aqueous Media using unmodified Gold Nanoparticles as Colorimetric Probe,  
14 *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2009**, 393, 2051 – 2057.
- 15  
16 [6] Maehashi, K.; Katsura, T.; Kerman, K.; Takamura, Y.; Matsumoto, K.; Tamiya, E.; Label-  
17 free Protein Biosensor based on Aptamer-modified Carbon Nanotube Field-effect Transistors,  
18 *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, 79, 782 – 787.
- 19  
20 [7] Ikebukuro, K.; Kiyohara, C.; Sode, K. Novel Electrochemical Sensor System for Proteins  
21 using the Aptamers in Sandwich Manner, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2005**, 20, 2168 – 2172.
- 22  
23 [8] Shangguan, D.; Meng, L.; Cao, Z.C.; Xiao, Z.; Fang, X.; Li, Y.; Cardona, D.; Witek, R.P.;  
24 Liu, C.; Tan, W. Identification of Liver Cancer-specific Aptamers using Whole Live Cells,  
25 *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, 80, 721 – 728.
- 26  
27 [9] Tang, Z.; Shangguan, D.; Wang, K.; Shi, H.; Sefah, K.; Mallikratchy, P.; Chen, H.W.; Li,  
28 Y.; Tan, W. Selection of Aptamers for Molecular Recognition and Characterization of Cancer  
29 Cells, *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, 79, 4900 – 4907.
- 30  
31 [10] Buchanan, D.D.; Jameson, E.E.; Perlette, J.; Malik, A.; Kennedy, R.T. Effect of Buffer,  
32 Electric Field, and Separation Time on Detection of Aptamer-Ligand Complexes for Affinity  
33 Probe Capillary Electrophoresis, *Electrophoresis* **2003**, 24, 1375-1382.
- 34  
35 [11] Liu, J. and Lu, Y. Fast Colorimetric Sensing of Adenosine and Cocaine Based on  
36 General Sensor Design Involving Aptamers and Nanoparticles, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**,  
37 118, 96 – 100.
- 38  
39 [12] Fang, X. Cao, Z.; Beck, T.; Tan, W. Molecular Aptamer for Real-time Oncoprotein  
40 Platelet-derived Growth Factor Monitoring by Fluorescence Anisotropy, *Anal. Chem.* **2001**, 73,  
41 5752 – 5757.
- 42  
43 [13] Tran, D.T.; Knez, K.; Janssen, K.P.F.; Pollet, J.; Spasic, D.; Lammertyn, J. Selection of  
44 Aptamers against Ara h 1 Protein for FO-SPR Biosensing of Peanut Allergens in Food  
45 Matrices, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2013**, 43, 245 – 251.
- 46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 [14] Yao, C.; Zhu, T.; Qi, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Xia, H.; Fu, W. Development of a Quartz Crystal  
4 Microbalance Biosensor with Aptamers as Bio-recognition Element, *Sensors* **2010**, 10, 5859 –  
5 5871.  
6  
7  
8 [15] Rodriguez, M.C.; Kawde, A.N.; Wang, J. Aptamer Biosensor for Label-free Impedance  
9 Spectroscopy Detection of Proteins based on Recognition-induced Switching of the Surface  
10 Charge, *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 14, 4267 – 4269.  
11  
12 [16] Cai, H.; Lee, T.M.-H.; Hsing, I.-M. Label-free Protein Recognition using an Aptamer-  
13 based Impedance Measurement Array, *Sens. Actuators, B.* **2006**, 114, 433 – 437.  
14  
15 [17] Goda, T. and Miyahara, Y. Label-free and Reagent-less Protein Biosensing using  
16 Aptamer-modified Extended Gate Field-effect Transistors, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2013**, 45, 89  
17 – 94.  
18  
19 [18] Tran, D.T.; Vermeeren, V.; Grieten, L.; Wenmackers, S.; Wagner, P.; Pollet, J.; Janssen,  
20 K.P.F.; Michiels, L.; Lammertyn, J. Nanocrystalline Diamond Impedimetric Aptasensor for  
21 the Label-free Detection of Human IgE, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2011**, 26, 2987 – 2993.  
22  
23 [19] Peeters, M.; Jiménez-Monroy, K.L.; Libert, C.; Eurlings, Y.; Cuypers, W.; Wackers, G.;  
24 Duchateau, S.; Robaey, P.; Nesládek, M.; van Grinsven, B.; Pérez-Ruiz, E.; Lammertyn, J.;  
25 Losada-Pérez, P.; Wagner, P.; Real-Time Monitoring of Aptamer Functionalization and  
26 Detection of Ara h 1 by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy and Dissipation-Mode  
27 Quartz Crystal Microbalance, *J. Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2014**, 5, DOI: 10.4172/2155-  
28 6210.1000155.  
29  
30 [20] van Grinsven, B.; Vanden Bon, N.; Strauven, H.; Grieten, L.; Murib, M.; Jiménez-  
31 Monroy, K.L.; Janssens, S.D.; Haenen, K.; Schöning, M.J.; Vermeeren, V.; Ameloot, M.;  
32 Michiels, L.; Thoelen, R.; De Ceuninck, W.; Wagner, P. Heat-Transfer Resistance at Solid-  
33 Liquid Interfaces: A Tool for the Detection of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in DNA,  
34 *ACS Nano* **2012**, 6, 2712 – 2721.  
35  
36 [21] van Grinsven, B.; Eersels, K.; Peeters, M.; Losada-Pérez, P.; Vandenryt, T.; Cleij, T.J.;  
37 Wagner, P. The Heat-Transfer Method: A Versatile, Low-Cost, Label-free, and User-friendly  
38 Readout Platform for Biosensor Applications, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, 6, 13309 –  
39 13318.  
40  
41 [22] Bers, K.; Eersels, K.; van Grinsven, B.; Daemen, M.; Bogie, J.; Hendriks, J.; Bouwmans,  
42 E.; Püttmann, C.; Stein, C.; Barth, S.; Bos, G.; Germeraad, W.; De Ceuninck, W.; Wagner, P.  
43 Heat-Transfer Resistance Measurement Method (HTM)-Based Cell Detection at Trace Levels  
44 Using a Progressive Enrichment Approach with Highly Selective Cell-Binding Surface  
45 Imprints, *Langmuir* **2014**, 30, 3631 – 3639.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 [23] Peeters, M.; Csipai, P.; Geerets, B.; Weustenraed, A.; van Grinsven, B.; Gruber, J.; De  
4 Ceuninck, W.; Cleij, T.J.; Troost, F.J.; and Wagner, P. Heat-Transfer-Based Detection of L-  
5 Nicotine, Histamine, and Serotonin Using Molecularly Imprinted Polymers as Biomimetic  
6 Receptors, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2013**, 405, 6453 – 6460.

7  
8  
9 [24] Losada-Pérez, P.; Jiménez-Monroy, K.L.; van Grinsven, B.; Leys, J.; Janssens, S.D.;  
10 Peeters, M.; Glorieux, C.; Thoen, J.; Haenen, K.; De Ceuninck, W.; Wagner, P. Phase  
11 Transitions in Lipid Vesicles Detected by a Complementary Set of Methods: Heat-Transfer  
12 Measurements, Adiabatic Scanning Calorimetry, and Dissipation-Mode Quartz Crystal  
13 Microbalance, *Phys. Status Solidi A* **2014**, 211, 1377 – 1388.

14  
15 [25] Wang, C.; Hossain, M.; Ma, L.; Ma, Z.; Hickman, J.J.; Su, M. Highly Sensitive Thermal  
16 Detection of Thrombin Using Aptamer-Functionalized Phase Change Materials, *Biosens.*  
17 *Bioelectron.* **2010**, 26, 437 – 443.

18 [26] Velizhanin, K.A.; Chien, C.-C. Dubi, Y.; Zwolak, M. Driving Denaturation: Nanoscale  
19 Thermal Transport as a Probe of DNA Melting, *Phys. Rev. E: Stat., Nonlinear, Soft Matter*  
20 *Phys.* **2011**, 83, 1-4.

21  
22 [27] Nakano, T.; Kikugawa, G.; Ohara, T. A Molecular Dynamics Study on Heat Conduction  
23 Characteristics in DPPC Lipid Bilayer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, 133, 154705-154714.

24 [28] Eersels, K.; van Grinsven, B.; Ethirajan, A.; Timmermans, S.; Jiménez-Monroy, K.L.;  
25 Bogie, J.F.J.; Punniyakoti, S.; Vandenryt, T.; Hendriks, J.J.A.; Cleij, T.J.; Daemen, M.J.A.P.;  
26 Somers, V.; De Ceuninck, W.; Wagner, P. Selective Identification of Macrophages and  
27 Cancer Cells Based on Thermal Transport Through Surface-Imprinted Polymer Layers, *ACS*  
28 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2013**, 5, 7258-7267.

29 [29] Das, J. Cederquist, K.B.; Zaragoza, A.A.; Lee, P.E.; Sargent, E.H.; Kelly, S.O. An  
30 Ultrasensitive Universal Detector Based on Neutralizer Displacement, *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, 4,  
31 642 – 648.

32 [30] Pérez-Ruiz, E.; Kemper, M.; Spasic, D.; Gils, A.; van IJzendoorn, L.; Lammertyn, J.;  
33 Prins, M.W.J. Probing the Force-Induced Dissociation of Aptamer-Protein Complexes, *Anal.*  
34 *Chem.* **2014**, 86, 3084 – 3091.

35 [31] Zuker, M. Mfold web server for Nucleic Acid Folding and Hybridization Prediction,  
36 *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **2003**, 31, 3406 – 3415.

37 [32] Maleki, S.J.; Kopper, R.A.; Shin, D.S.; Park, C-W.; Compadre, C.M.; Sampson, H.;  
38 Burks, A.W.; Bannon, G.A. Structure of the Major Peanut Allergen Ara h 1 May Protect IgE-  
39 binding Epitopes from Degradation, *J. Immunol.*, **2000**, 164, 5844 – 5849.

- 1  
2  
3 [33] Jeyachandran, Y.L.; Mielczarski, E.; Rai, B.; Mielczarski, J.A. Quantitive and  
4 Qualitative Evaluation of Adsorption/Desorption of Bovine Serum Albumin on Hydrophilic  
5 and Hydrophobic Surfaces, *Langmuir*, **2009**, *25*, 11614 – 11620.  
6  
7 [34] Pomés, A.; Butts, C.L.; Chapman, M.D. Quantification of Ara h 1 in peanuts : Why  
8 Roasting Makes a Difference, *Clin. Exp. Allergy*, **2006**, *36*, 824 – 830.  
9  
10 [35] Ogasawara, D.; Hachiya, N.S.; Kaneko, K.; Sode, K.; Ikebukuro, K. Detection System  
11 Based on the Conformational Change in an Aptamer and its Application to Simple  
12 Bound/Free Separation, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2009**, *24*, 1372 – 1376.  
13  
14 [36] Vanden Bon, N.; van Grinsven, B.; Murib, M.S.; Yeap, W.S.; Haenen, K.; De Ceuninck,  
15 W.; Wagner, P.; Ameloot, M.; Vermeeren, V.; Michiels, L. Heat-Transfer Based Detection of  
16 SNPS in the PAH Gene of PKU Patients, *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2014**, *9*, 1629 – 1640.  
17  
18 [37] Jhaveri, S.D.; Kirby, R.; Conrad, R.; Maglott, E.J.; Bowser, M.; Kennedy, R.T.; Glick,  
19 G.; Ellington, A.D. Designed Signaling Aptamers that Transduce Molecular Recognitions  
20 Changes in Fluorescence Intensity, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 2469 – 2473.  
21  
22 [38] Vermeeren, V.; Wenmackers, S.; Daenen, M.; Haenen, K.; Williams, O.A.; Ameloot, M.;  
23 vandeVen, M.; Wagner, P.; Michiels, L. Topographical and Functional Characterisation of the  
24 ssDNA Probe Layer Generated Through EDC-mediated Covalent Attachment to  
25 Nanocrystalline Diamond Using Fluorescence Microscopy, *Langmuir*, **2008**, *24*, 9125 – 9134.  
26  
27 [39] Trashin, S.; De Jong, M.; Breugelmans, T.; Pilehvar, S.; De Wael, K. Label-Free  
28 Impedance Aptasensor for the Major Peanut Allergen Ara h 1, *Electroanalysis*, **2015**, *27*, 32-  
29 37.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Figure Table of Content:

